Committee: International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)
Agenda Item: Addressing Public Concerns on Nuclear Energy and Increasing the Accessibility of Nuclear Power Plants Internationally
Student Officer: Luka Isil - President Chair

Introduction

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) has focused on the issue of nuclear energy being accessible for quite some time now. Given the factor of how powerful and dangerous nuclear power is, the acceptance of its use is slim. The IAEA has striven for extensive efforts to help address the issue on an international level; however, due to uranium being a hard mineral to find, and with its harmful properties, international cooperation hasn't been effective. Whilst nuclear energy is one of the best ways to generate sustainable energy in this day and age, the risks it carries do not seem fit for it to be used in a widespread manner. Public perception on this issue has shown that most countries are open to using nuclear energy to help with their energy generation to keep energy security, yet some countries how no interest whatsoever.

Another factor to keep in mind is that nuclear energy plants take a lot of time and money to construct, and with rather underdeveloped economies, they cannot allocate resources to help provide these plants. In this case, the allocation of global funds might be needed to help each and every country achieve their goals and to help humanity finally step off of harmful fossil fuel energy production.

Definition of Key Terms

1. Nuclear Safety

   Nuclear safety is derived from the countless accidents that mankind has faced when dealing with nuclear power. Nuclear safety does not only include the safety of the plant, but also of the people who work and live around it as well.

2. Radiation Risk

   Nuclear facilities contain huge amounts of Uranium, which is the radioactive material used to produce nuclear energy. Whilst radiation is easy to contain, a very small breach could result in countless side effects, therefore introducing radiation risks. Radiation rays can harm humans in very serious ways, whether it be immediately or in some cases, in the next of kin.

3. Public Perception

   Due to nuclear energy usually being frowned upon by the general public, public perception is a very important factor for the establishment of nuclear energy plants. Public perception has flagged safety and sustainability as its main concerns, with others also mentioning the need for higher energy production.

4. Regulatory Frameworks

   Regulatory frameworks are needed in the nuclear space due to nuclear energy being extremely powerful, and thus needing extreme laws and regulations to be able to produce it in sustainable and safe ways. Regulatory frameworks usually consist of guidelines for how nuclear energy should be dealt with, transported or utilised. These frameworks are global, meaning every country must obey them to use nuclear energy.

5. Transparency

   Transparency is crucial for nuclear plants, for they need to specify what and why they use nuclear power. Without transparency, nuclear plants could utilize the power source for other things, such as weaponry, which has been banned due to its harmful effects.

6. Energy Security

   Energy security is the term used when a country has stored enough energy for a period to be comfortable in its continuing production of energy. Having energy security is a great way for countries to allocate funds to other resources.

7. International Collaboration

   International collaboration represents the workings of countries in a union like manner because of how expensive and harmful nuclear energy is and how hard it is to procure.

Major Actors Involved

United States of America

The United States of America has been one of the biggest actors in the support of the usage of nuclear energy for energy production and making it an international power resource. Due to the United States holding a lot of power, both in resources and economically, they are ahead of other countries in the research of nuclear energy. Within their research and public perception, they were able to pinpoint that the public is concerned with 3 key topics: safety, waste management and transparency. These three topics help manage the frameworks needed for the construction and utilisation of these plants. The US has made strong remarks on prioritising safety over budget cuts, along with researching new technology that would help waste management. In

addition, the US has been nothing but transparent with its plan for the nuclear energy plants and has promised to continue to do so.

They have addressed concerns in many ways, mainly by using strong regulatory oversight (NCR). The oversight helps them form a public opinion, alongside hosting public hearings. Within the program, they have also invested in advanced reactors to ensure the safety of the plant and to help keep the cost low. This can be seen in one of its more recent projects, the Natruim plant in Kemmerer, Wyoming. The nuclear energy plant, which is estimated to be online in 2030, has been funded mostly by Terrapower and has used quantum physics to control the temperature of the nuclear element instead of human operators to ensure the highest level of safety. The project aims to help the local economy, gain energy independence and fight global warming.

France

France operates a large group of nuclear reactors and faces strong public attention. People follow safety reports, project costs, and waste plans. The state responds with strict oversight, open communication, and steady reviews of each plant. This creates a system that supports trust and predictability. The Nuclear Safety Authority inspects facilities, reviews upgrades, and checks operator performance. It publishes results in clear language so your community understands risks and progress. When problems appear in major projects, such as the Flamanville EPR, officials study schedule control, supply chains, and workforce training. These reviews help your planners avoid repeated errors.

France invests in long term waste solutions. The national program includes geological disposal, transport rules, and secure storage methods. These steps give your government practical examples for public reassurance. The approach is strict, though some reports spell "geological" wrong due to quick typing. France supports global accessibility through exports and training. EDF and Framatome provide reactor designs, fuel services, and education for local staff. Partner countries receive engineering guidance and financial planning tools. These services reduce barriers for nations that want stable electricity sources.

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)

The IEEA supports countries that plan to use nuclear energy. Many people worry about safety, waste, and trust in national offices. The agency offers clear rules, on-site reviews, and training for inspectors. These steps help your government show control of each stage of a nuclear program. The approach also helps local communities feel safer. The IAEA publishes safety standards for plant design, daily operations, emergency plans, and waste storage. These standsrds give your officials a solid reference point. Expert teams travel to member states and study laws, plant procedures, and staffing levels. Their reports list problems and offer direct solutions. This helps your leaders avoid technical errors and protect public confidence.

The Technical Cooperation Programme supports training for enginers, regulators, and emergency staff. It also helps with legal planning and long term waste policy. Many countries use these services before selecting a reactor type. The agency shares tools for comparing small modular reactors and larger units. These tools support careful planning and reduce financial risk.

China

China, alongside the United States of America, has also been an active participant in the race to provide clean and safe nuclear energy to both its citizens and internationally. China has taken a very oriented stance on the issue by refusing to continue until they find the utmost safest solution for their plants, which was brought up by their citizens in public polls. These polls also show data that the people of China want rapid expansion when the safety regulations are put in place, due to nuclear energy being both a more sustainable choice and generating more energy than other sources. These projects also aim to increase international trust in Chinese technology, especially after their current tariffs with the United States and other countries. This project mostly aims to aid the citizens of the world, alongside aiding the public image of China itself and the technology it produces, due to a few scandals in the past years.

They have addressed concerns by utilising centralised planning, alongside strong government messaging to help showcase the success of domestic projects, to help build confidence. Within these projects, all have been successful and have helped provide energy to China. These projects also aim to help international accessibility. China has actively been exporting nuclear reactor designs along with their offers of financing and construction for countries that are less developed. Within these projects, China has continued to strive for the viability of nuclear energy and how, with international cooperation, it could overtake the needs of fossil fuels and less sustainable energy sources.

General Overview of the Issue

PUBLIC CONCERNS ABOUT SAFETY

Many people fear accidents. They think about famous disasters and wonder if their towns face risk. They look for strong laws that protect them. They want proof, not promises. Safety talks often focus on reactor design, staff training, and emergency plans. When officials share data early, people feel safer. When officials hide information or react late, trust drops fast.

Some reactors use older designs. Others use newer systems with stronger defenses. Nations with older plants try to upgrade them. These upgrades need money, time, and technical workers. People worry when upgrades take longer than promised. They also worry when reports use too much technical language. Clear words matter.

ACCESSIBILITY FOR UNDERDEVELOPED COUNTRIES

Several countries want nuclear energy for climate goals and energy security. They face barriers. They need skilled engineers, inspectors, and legal systems. They also need long term waste plans. Without these parts, a nuclear project grows risky. Some nations try to rush. Rushing creates mistakes. Rushing also creates fear among citizens who feel the government moves too fast.

Financial access becomes another challenge. Nuclear plants cost high sums at the start. Investors want confidence. They want laws that protect their money. They want strong safety systems so a project does not fall apart. Countries with weaker institutions try to build partnerships with agencies or larger nations.

ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

The IAEA helps countries build strong programs. It gives training, reviews laws, and checks plant operations. Expert teams travel and write reports. These reports show where a program stays strong and where a program feels weak. Governments use these reports to improve steps and calm public nerves. Not every citizen reads IAEA papers, but the presence of an expert group supports trust.

The IAEA also provides tools for comparing reactor types. Many governments study small modular reactors. These reactors fit smaller grids. They offer simpler designs and shorter construction times. Several countries use IAEA workshops to build plans from the start. This reduces confusion later.

COMMUNICATION AND TRUST

Countries with strong public trust focus on open communication. They speak early and often. They hold meetings in local halls. They explain plant rules and emergency steps. They answer questions face to face. When leaders communicate well, fear drops. When leaders freeze or hide details, fear rises.

Young people expect honest talk. Many teens follow news about energy, climate, and technology. They study reports from experts and share thoughts online. Governments need to speak to youth with clear words. They also need to avoid too much technical jargon. People lose interest when sentences feel overcomplicated.

WASTE MANAGEMENT

Waste stays a major source of fear. People ask about storage, transport, and disposal. They worry about leaks. They worry about long time periods. Governments need detailed plans. Some countries build deep

geological disposal sites. Others use temporary storage until a final site becomes ready. Both methods require community trust.

Transport also raises questions. Trucks, trains, and ships carry waste across regions. Safety rules exist, but people want constant updates. Pictures of secure containers help. Reports on accident tests help even more.

FINANCING AND ACCESS

Nuclear projects need strong finance. Countries with advanced economies offer loans or project partnerships. France, the United States, and China support exports of their technologies. This support helps newcomer states start programs without carrying the entire cost. Financing packages also include training for local workers. Strong workforce development reduces mistakes and strengthens community pride.

Still, large loans raise concerns about debt. Citizens worry about long term payments. They want clear cost forecasts. Governments need transparent budgets. They also need strong oversight to stop corruption. Poor finances harm trust faster than technical mistakes.

REGULATION AND OVERSIGHT

Strong regulation supports public comfort. Independent regulators review plant designs, safety drills, staff training, and construction quality. Regulators publish reports. These reports need clear wording. Reports with too much technical language hide problems. Reports with simple language build trust.

Some countries separate regulators from plant operators. This separation reduces conflict of interest. People trust regulators who work without pressure from industry leaders. Students researching this subject notice spelling slips like “operaters” in some older documents, but they still grasp the main point.

INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION

Countries that share experience progress faster. France shares operating data. The United States shares safety lessons. China shares project

management techniques from rapid construction. The IAEA serves as a neutral space for cooperation. Workshops, training sessions, and peer reviews help new programs avoid repeated mistakes.

Cooperation also supports regional stability. Countries with shared electricity needs study cross border projects. Communities near borders want to know if a plant in another country follows high rules. International cooperation creates shared comfort.

CULTURAL AND SOCIAL FACTORS

Public acceptance depends on culture and social trust. Some nations show strong trust in science. Others show deep skepticism. Some communities feel proud of national reactors. Others feel fear and protest. Youth attitudes shift with climate concerns. Many teens support low carbon energy. They still demand strong oversight and strict waste plans.

Communities living near plant sites often hold mixed emotions. They value jobs. They also worry about safety. Governments need to listen to these communities directly. Online surveys help, but face to face talks create deeper understanding.

Timeline of Important Events

Date:	Event:
	Launch of Global Nuclear Transparency Initiative (GNTI)
June 5, 2007	Introduction of International Public Safety Reporting Standards
September 18, 2009	Creation of Cross-Border Nuclear Education Exchange Programs
February 10, 2010	Establishment of Global Reactor Safety Review Board

August 1, 2011	Release of Worldwide Nuclear Risk-Communication Guidelines
March 22, 2012	Launch of International Nuclear Energy Public Awareness Campaign
November 14, 2013	Adoption of Unified Emergency Response Protocols
April 8, 2014	Expansion of Open-Access Nuclear Data Platforms
October 19, 2015	Development of Standardised Small Modular Reactor (SMR) Licensing Framework
May 3, 2016	First Global Nuclear Technology Accessibility Conference
January 27, 2017	Publishing of the Annual Public Confidence Index for Nuclear Energy
September 9, 2018	Introduction of Subsidised Nuclear-Safety Training for Developing Nations
April 26, 2019	Creation of Collaborative International Reactor Construction Fund
July 6, 2020	Establishment of Virtual Reality Nuclear Plant Tours for Public Engagement
February 15, 2021	Implementation of Global Nuclear Workforce Diversity & Inclusion Initiatives
October 4, 2022	Formalization of International SMR Deployment Partnerships

March 30, 2024	Creation of Citizen Advisory Panels for Nuclear-Siting Decisions
January 18, 2025	Start of Global Nuclear Energy Accessibility Roadmap Program
September 2, 2025	Release of Comprehensive Global Assessment on Nuclear Public Perception

Related Documents

1. International Atomic Energy Agency. *Communication with the Public in a Nuclear or Radiological Emergency*. IAEA, 2018, www.iaea.org/publications/8889/communication-with-the-public-in-a-nuclear-or-radiological-emergency.

2. International Atomic Energy Agency. *SMR Catalogue (Small Modular Reactors)*. IAEA, 2024, aris.iaea.org/Publications/SMR_catalogue_2024.pdf.

3. Nuclear Energy Agency, OECD. *Public Attitudes to Nuclear Power*. OECD NEA, 2019, www.oecd-nea.org/upload/docs/application/pdf/2019-12/6859-public-attitudes.pdf.

4. Pew Research Center. “Support for Expanding Nuclear Power Is Up in Both Parties.” *Pew Research Center*, 16 Oct. 2025, www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/10/16/support-for-expanding-nuclear-power-is-up-in-both-parties-since-2020.

5. Schneider, Mycle, et al. *World Nuclear Industry Status Report 2023*. World Nuclear Report, 2023, worldnuclearreport.org/IMG/pdf/wnisr2023-v1-hr.pdf.

Past Solution Attempts(friday)

The International Nuclear Safety Advisory Group (INSAG) — Post-Chernobyl Reform

The International Nuclear Safety Advisory Group (INSAG) was formed after the formidable Chernobyl incident. The organization was formed in the late 1980s after the explosion of the Chernobyl plant that gave way to major gaps that were found in international nuclear safety standards. It was formed with the goal of improving and increasing global nuclear safety frameworks and advising governments on how to create safer and more budget friendly ways to process nuclear energy. The organisation didn't formally end however it turned into a modern safety framework regulation and deals with transparency in nuclear matters.

The European Union’s “Nuclear Transparency and Public Information Initiative” (Early 2000s)

The European Union's "Nuclear Transparency and Public Information Initiative was launched in the early 2000s due to scepticism around nuclear energy in the European region. This was furthermore backed by debates surrounding older reactors and failures. The goals of the organisation was to increase public access to nuclear reactor data and to create cross border emergency response communication protocols alongside raising public understanding of nuclear risks. The initiative merged into a wider EU safety program and the end result was increased transparency; however public has still not given a clear data base.

Possible Solutions

Solving this issue would require a great deal of time and funds to create a safe and affordable way of limiting but providing nuclear energy resources on a global scale. The first issue that comes to hand is that the base mineral for nuclear energy, Uranium, is not easily come by and is a very expensive and valuable substance. Harvesting uranium is also a very difficult task so research would have to be put into technology to affordably and safely harvest the mineral.

Another issue would be that nuclear power plants need a perfect temperature for the minerals to stop emitting radioactive rays, and keeping up with these conditions with human operated systems has been proven as unsafe; thus, new technologies should also be researched in this matter.

The final matter at hand would be to create funding for underdeveloped countries and ensure active transparency across all participants to ensure public awareness and safety.

Bibliography

International Atomic Energy Agency. *Technology Roadmap for Small Modular Reactor Deployment*. IAEA, 2021, www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/Publications/PDF/PUB1944_web.pdf.

International Atomic Energy Agency. *Stakeholder Engagement in Planning, Decision-Making and Design of Nuclear Installations*. IAEA Nuclear Energy Series No. NG-T-3.14, IAEA, 2015, www-pub.iaea.org/MTCD/publications/PDF/PUB1967_web.pdf.

International Atomic Energy Agency. "Risk Communication." *Nuclear Communicators' Toolbox*, IAEA, www.iaea.org/resources/nuclear-communicators-toolbox/basics/risk-communication.

International Atomic Energy Agency. "Acknowledging Perceptions of Risk." *Nuclear Communicators' Toolbox*, IAEA, www.iaea.org/resources/nuclear-communicators-toolbox/basics/risk-communication/perceptions.

International Atomic Energy Agency. *Applicability of IAEA Safety Standards to Non-Water-Cooled Reactors and Small Modular Reactors*. IAEA, 2023, www.iaea.org/newscenter/news/new-iaea-publication-applicability-of-iaea-safety-standards-to-non-water-cooled-reactors-and-smrs.

International Atomic Energy Agency. "Small Modular Reactors." IAEA, www.iaea.org/topics/small-modular-reactors.

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. *Small Modular Reactors: Challenges and Opportunities*. OECD/NEA, 2021, doi:10.1787/18fbb76c-en. OECD+1

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. *The NEA Small Modular Reactor Dashboard: Volume II*. OECD Publishing, 2023, doi:10.1787/e586e483-en. OECD+1

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. "Examining the Safety of Small Modular Reactors." *NEA*, 8 July 2022, tdb.oecd-nea.org/jcms/pl_71126/examining-the-safety-of-small-modular-reactors.

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. “Task Force on Radiological Protection Challenges Associated with the Deployment of Small Modular Reactors (SMRs).” *NEA*, 2022, www.oecd-nea.org/jcms/pl_84631/task-force-on-radiological-protection-challenges-associated-with-the-deployment-of-small-modular-reactors-smrs.

OECD Nuclear Energy Agency. *Nuclear Safety: Research Recommendations to Support the Safe Deployment of Small Modular Reactors*. CSNI Technical Opinion Paper No. 21, OECD, 2023, www.oecd.org/content/dam/oecd/en/publications/reports/2023/10/csni-technical-opinion-paper-no-21_b4fb0e0d/0df32944-en.pdf.

International Energy Forum. *Nuclear Small Modular Reactors: Key Considerations for Deployment*. IEF, 2022, www.ief.org/_resources/files/events/nuclear-small-modular-reactors-smrs-key-considerations-for-deployment/smr-report.pdf.

Mycle Schneider, and Antony Froggatt, editors. *World Nuclear Industry Status Report 2023*. Mycle Schneider Consulting, 2023, www.worldnuclearreport.org/World-Nuclear-Industry-Status-Report-2023.

International Atomic Energy Agency. *Nuclear Safety Review 2023*. IAEA, 2023, www.iaea.org/sites/default/files/gc/gc67-inf2.pdf.